Categorical Exemption/Categorical Exclusion/Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (CE/CE/PCE) Determination Form and Project Description Continuation Sheet

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION/PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

DETERMINATION FORM				
, , ,	,	E.A. (State project)	` 0	fix, Proj. No., Agr. No.)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly descri	be project, purpos	e, location, limits, right-of-w	vay requirements, and ac	tivities involved.)
CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects o	nly)			
Based on an examination of this proposal, supp  If this project falls within exempt clas designated, precisely mapped and of There will not be a significant cumula  There is not a reasonable possibility  This project does not damage a scer  This project is not located on a site ir  This project does not cause a substa	s 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it ficially adopted pu tive effect by this p that the project wil the resource within acluded on any list	t does not impact an enviro irsuant to law. project and successive proj I have a significant effect o an officially designated sta compiled pursuant to Govt	enmental resource of haz jects of the same type in in the environment due to te scenic highway. .: Code § 65962.5 ("Corte	ardous or critical concern wher the same place, over time. o unusual circumstances.
		<u> </u>		
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION				
Exempt by Statute (PRC 21080)				
Based on an examination of this proposal, supp	•			
Categorically Exempt. Class , or Conseen with certainty that there is no possibility				
Signature: Environmental Office Chief	Date Sig	gnature: Project Manager		Date
NEPA COMPLIANCE (23 CFR 771.117)				
Based on an examination of this proposal, supp     This project does not have a significa     This project does not involve substar     This project does not involve significal Historic Preservation Act.     In non-attainment or maintenance are Transportation Improvement Program     This project is consistent with all Federic environmental aspects of this action.	ant impact on the e ntial controversy or ant impacts on pro eas for Federal air n or is exempt fron	environment as defined by to n environmental grounds. perties protected by Section quality standards: this projon n regional conformity.	the NEPA.  n 4(f) of the DOT Act or a currence to the comes from a currence the comes from a currence the currence of the curr	tly conforming plan and
<b>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</b>				
Based on an examination of this proposal, supp that the project is a:	orting information,	and the statements above	under "NEPA Compliano	ce", it is determined
PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORICAL EXCL the project files, all the conditions of the Sc CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CE): For a effect and are excluded from the requirem. Statement (EIS). Require FHWA determin	eptember 7, 1990 l actions that do not ent to prepare an E	Programmatic Categorical individually or cumulatively	Exclusion have been me have a significant envir	t. onmental
Signature: Environmental Office Chief	Date	Signature: Project M	anager/DLA Engineer	Date
FHWA DETERMINATION				
Based on the evaluation of this project and the sa Categorical Exclusion (CE).	statements above,	it is determined that the pr	oject meets the criteria o	f and is properly classified as
	Signature: F	HWA Transportation Engi	neer Date	
Additional information attached or referenced, as	ŭ			studies or documentation of
exemption from regional conformity or use of CO survey results; Wetlands Finding; Floodplain Find	Protocol; §106 cor	mmitments; §4(f) or Progra	mmatic §4(f); date of CC	

Page 6-65 May 9, 2001

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION/PROGRAMMATIC CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

PROJECT DESCRIPTION CONTINUATION SHEET